1	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) United States Attorney		
3	BARBARA J. VALLIERE (DCBN 439353) Chief, Criminal Division		
4	ANDREW F. DAWSON (CABN 264421) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055		
5			
6	San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-7019 FAX: (415) 436-7234 Andrew.Dawson@usdoj.gov		
7			
8	Attorneys for United States of America		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	OAKLAND DIVISION		
12			
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO. 16-MJ-71579 MAG)		
14	Plaintiff,)) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER		
15	v.) CONTINUING PRELIMINARY HEARING AND) STATUS CONFERENCE, EXTENDING TIME		
16	NOEL GONZALEZ,) UNDER RULE 5.1, AND EXCLUDING TIME) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT		
17	Defendant.)		
18			
19	The United States of America, through Andrew F. Dawson, Assistant United States Attorney,		
20	and the defendant, Noel Gonzalez, through his counsel, hereby stipulate to a continuance of the		
21	preliminary hearing or arraignment date in this case from September 22, 2017 to October 27, 2017, at		
22	9:30 a.m. before the Duty Magistrate. Defendant Gonzalez is not in custody. The defendant agrees that		
23	good cause exists to extend the time limits of Rule 5.1(c) to October 27, 2017. The parties also agree		
24	that time is appropriately excluded under 18 U.S.C. § 3161, the Speedy Trial Act, between September		
25	22, 2017, and October 27, 2017.		
26	The parties agree that good cause exists, taking into account the public interest in the prompt		
27	disposition of criminal cases, to extend the time for the preliminary hearing to September 22, 2017. The		
28			
	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PRELIMINARY HEARING CASE NO. 16-MJ-71579 MAG 1		

1	parties have been actively discussing a resolution of this matter and are hopeful that such a resolution		
2	might be reached without burdening Court with further proceedings after indictment. The parties		
3	previously stipulated to continue the preliminary hearing to September 22, 2017, with the hope that a		
4	criminal history report would be available in time to resolve this matter by September 22, 2017. The		
5	criminal history report has now been prepared, but was not provided to the parties until September 13,		
6	2017. The parties have therefore not had adequate time to consider the report and how it might affect a		
7	resolution. The parties therefore stipulate and agree to continue the preliminary hearing to October 27,		
8	2017.		
9	The parties also agree that an exclusion of time is appropriate under the Speedy Trial Act		
10	between September 22, 2017, and October 27, 2017, for purposes of the effective preparation of counsel		
11	continuity of counsel, and to permit counsel to conduct an investigation and consult with the defendant.		
12	In addition, the defendant agrees to exclude for this period of time any time limits applicable under 18		
13	U.S.C. § 3161. The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance		
14	outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).		
15		Respectfully submitted,	
16		BRIAN J. STRETCH United States Attorney	
17		Officed States Attorney	
18	Dated: September 19, 2017	/s/	
19		ANDREW F. DAWSON Assistant United States Attorney	
20	D . 1 G . 1 10 2017		
21	Dated: September 19, 2017	JESSE GARCIA	
22		Attorney for NOEL GONZALEZ	
23			
24			
25			
26			

 $_{7}\parallel$

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Based upon the representation of counsel and for good cause shown, the Court finds that good cause exists, taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, for extending time under Rule 5.1 for a preliminary hearing to October 27, 2017. The Court further finds that good cause exists for a continuance of the preliminary hearing date to October 27, 2017. The Court finds that failing to exclude the time between September 22, 2017, and October 27, 2017, would unreasonably deny the defendant and counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and that exclusion of time will provide for continuity of defense counsel. The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the time between September 22, 2017, and October 27, 2017, from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- (1) The preliminary hearing or arraignment date are continued to October 27, 2017, at 9:30 a.m. before the Duty Magistrate; and
- (2) Good cause exists to extend the time for the preliminary hearing under Rule 5.1 to October 27, 2017; and
- (3) The time between September 22, 2017, and October 27, 2017, shall be excluded from computation of any time limits under the Speedy Trial Act.

DATED: 9/20/17

HON KANDIS A. WESTMORE United States Magistrate Judge